

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,575	(07/22/2003	Koichi Sato	NEG-299 US	NEG-299 US 6547	
21254	7590	07/27/2006		EXAMINER		
		CTUAL PROPER OUSE ROAD	ROSSOSHEK, YELENA			
SUITE 200	0011110	JOSE ROMB		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
VIENNA, V	A 22182	3817		2825		

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/623,575	SATO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Helen Rossoshek	2825	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addre	ess
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this comm OONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	fay 2006		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowal		prosecution as to the m	erite is
closed in accordance with the practice under E		•	
Disposition of Claims	, ,	.,	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-7,9 and 11-20</u> is/are pending in	the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	* *		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Will from Consideration.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3,5-7,9 and 11-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2003 is/are: a)[· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· ·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	raminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Appli	cation No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been rec	eived in this National Sta	ige
application from the International Bureau	` ''		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eived.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumn		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date nal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	isi i sioni Application (F10-15.	-)

Application/Control Number: 10/623,575 Page 2

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the Application 10/623,575 filed 07/22/2003 and amendment filed 05/12/2006.

2. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 9, 11-20 remain pending in the Application. Claims 2, 4, 8, 10 have been cancelled from the Application. Claim 20 has been added to the Application.

3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/13/2006 has been entered.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show what the clock-based description is as described in the specification, moreover, it is not clear how Figures 9A, 9B and 9C "useful in describing setting of toggle rate and transition probability of an array" (Page 6 of instant Specification). Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being

amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claim 7 recites the limitation "calculating a power consumption factor based upon both the clock-based description and behavioral synthesis information, wherein the power consumption factor of the storage element . . . " in claim 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It has to be noted that "the

Application/Control Number: 10/623,575 Page 4

Art Unit: 2825

storage element" has not been mentioned before in the claim, especially it was not specified what a power consumption was estimated for.

- 8. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 9, 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear how determination "whether an array variable in the clock-based description is mapped to a memory or registers" (last limitation of claims 1, 7 and 13) is used for "calculating a power consumption factor of a storage element" (last limitation).
- 9. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 9, 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are:
 - as it was aforementioned the term "a power consumption factor of a storage element" in the last limitation of all independent claims 1, 7 and 13 was not mentioned before, which creates gap between "estimating power consumption" in the preamble of the claims and "calculating a power consumption factor of a storage element" in the last limitation of the claims 1, 7 and 13.
 - It is not clear what is "a clock-based description" (first limitation of claims 1,7 and 13) comprised of, and what the relationship between clock-

based description and "an array variable" is and how it's described by illustration of the Figure 9A.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 1, 3, 5-7,9, 11-20 contain allowable subject matter.
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not teach estimating power consumption of a storage element/register based upon both the clock-based description and behavioral synthesis information.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen Rossoshek whose telephone number is 571-272-1905. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Chiang can be reached on 571-272-7483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,575 Page 6

Art Unit: 2825

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Examiner Helen Rossoshek AU 2825

A. M. Thompson Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800